

**REQUEST FOR  
RECONSIDERATION**

Application #	10/622,535
Confirmation #	3880
Filing Date	July 21, 2003
First Inventor	MARNAY
Art Unit	3733
Examiner	Philogene, Pedro
Docket #	P07874US00/MP

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated September 12, 2007, Applicants submit the following Request for Reconsideration.

Claims 1, 10-26, 30, 31, and 39-67 are pending in the present application. Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance for the reasons set forth below.

**Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 112**

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 48-52 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. Specifically, the Examiner asserts that in Claim 48 only one step is being claimed. In response to the rejection, Applicants respectfully submit that there is no lack of definiteness with regard to Claim 48. See M.P.E.P. § 2172.01.

Claim 48 is directed towards an insertion method which comprises a single step with no intervening step necessary to practice the recited method. Applicants respectfully submit that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand what is claimed. Therefore, claim 48 is in compliance with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112.